arXiv:1304.4186v1 [hep-ph] 15 Apr 2013

Spreading of wave packets for Majorana neutrino oscillations in vacuum

Y. F. Pérez*
Departamento de Física Matemática, Instituto de Física,
Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil

C. J. Quimbay†‡
Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia.
Ciudad Universitaria, Bogotá D.C., Colombia.

(Dated: April 16, 2013)

Abstract

In this work we study the spreading effects of wave packets on the two-flavor neutrino oscillation probabilities in vacuum for describing neutrinos by Majorana fields. To do it, the flavor neutrinos are considered as superpositions of neutrino mass eigenstates which are described by localized wave packets. The spreading effects are studied in two cases: (i) Considering only the space spreading; (ii) considering simultaneously the time and space spreading. For the space spreading case, we obtain a functional form of the oscillation probabilities which is consistent with that reported in the literature for Dirac neutrinos. For the time-space spreading case, we obtain a new expression for the oscillation probabilities that includes some small changes in the oscillation and coherence lengths.

Keywords: Majorana neutrinos, two-flavor neutrino oscillations, spreading of wave packets, oscillation length, coherence length.

PACS Codes: 11.10.-z, 13.15.+g, 14.60.-z.

---

† Associate researcher of Centro Internacional de Física, Bogotá D.C., Colombia.
*Electronic address: yfperezg@fmail.if.usp.br
‡Electronic address: cjquimbayh@unal.edu.co

I. INTRODUCTION

Knowing the nature of neutrino fields is an open problem in particle physics [1–3]. This problem might be solved, experimentally, establishing if neutrinos are: (i) Majorana fermions; (ii) Dirac fermions. In the first case, as a consequence, neutrino and anti-neutrino are the same particle being described by two-component spinorial fields called Majorana fields [1–3]. In the second case, neutrinos and anti-neutrinos are described by different four-component spinorial fields called Dirac fields [1–3]. However, independently of its nature, the theoretical description of neutrino oscillations should lead to the same results for the cases in which neutrinos are described by Majorana or Dirac fields. As an example of this fact, for the case in which neutrinos were described by Majorana fields, we obtained the standard plane wave expressions for the two-flavor neutrino oscillation probabilities [4], which have been also obtained describing neutrinos by Dirac fields.

The standard plane wave expressions for neutrino oscillation probabilities have been obtained in the context of several quantum mechanics treatments (for instance, see [5–10]). On the other hand, in the context of different quantum field theory treatments, neutrino oscillations in vacuum have been extensively studied describing neutrinos by Dirac fields [11–23]. For Dirac neutrinos, the space spreading effects of the mass eigenstates wave packets on the neutrino oscillation probabilities have been widely investigated [11–21]. Additionally, for Dirac neutrinos, some aspects of the time-space spreading effects of the mass eigenstates wave packets were studied in [14, 17]. Until now, however, the spreading effects of the mass eigenstates of the wave packets on the neutrino oscillation probabilities have not been studied for neutrinos described by Majorana fields.

The main goal of this work is to study the spreading effects of wave packets on two-flavor neutrino oscillations in vacuum for considering that neutrinos are described by Majorana fields. To do it, we calculate the two-flavor neutrino oscillation probabilities in the context of a wave packet extension of the quantum field theory treatment that we previously developed for the case in which the Majorana neutrino mass eigenstate were described by plane waves [4]. In the present treatment, the flavor neutrinos are considered as superpositions of neutrino mass eigenstates described by localized wave packets. Thus, the spreading effects of the mass eigenstate wave packets on the two-flavor neutrino oscillation probabilities are studied in two cases: (i) Considering only the space spreading; (ii) considering simultaneously the time and space spreading. For the first case, in the relativistic limit, we find that the Majorana neutrino oscillation probabilities have the same functional form as those reported in the literature for Dirac neutrinos [11–21]. On the other hand, for the second case, in the relativistic limit, and working in the regime of oscillations with transversal spreading, we obtain a new expression for the two-flavor neutrino oscillation probabilities that includes some small changes in the oscillation and coherence lengths. Specifically, we observe for this second case that the oscillation and coherence lengths are a little smaller than the ones obtained in the space spreading case.

The content of this work has been organized as follows: In section two, we present for neutrinos described by Majorana fields how is possible to calculate the two-flavor neutrino oscillation probabilities in the context of a quantum field theory treatment, for which the mass eigenstate are described by plane waves; in section three, we extend the plane wave treatment presented in the previous section, to the case in which the Majorana neutrino mass eigenstates are described by localized wave packets; in section four, we study the space spreading effects of the mass eigenstate wave packets on the two-flavor Majorana neutrino oscillation probabilities; in section five, we study the time-space spreading effects of the wave packets on the Majorana neutrino oscillations; finally in section six we present some conclusions.

II. NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS USING PLANE WAVES

The standard plane wave expressions for the oscillation probabilities between two flavor left-handed neutrinos were obtained in the context of a treatment developed in the canonical formalism of Quantum Field Theory for the case in which neutrinos were described by Majorana fields [4]. In this treatment, the flavor neutrinos were considered as superpositions of mass eigenstates with specific momenta and the mass eigenstates were described by plane waves. For the case of the relativistic limit ($L \simeq T$) and after including a normalization constant, the standard plane wave expressions for the neutrino oscillation probabilities [4] are written as

$$P^{PW}_{\nu_e}(L) = 1 - \sin^2[2\theta_{12}]\sin^2\left[\frac{\Delta m^2_{12}}{4E}L\right], \tag{1}$$

$$P^{PW}_{\nu_\mu}(L) = \sin^2[2\theta_{12}]\sin^2\left[\frac{\Delta m^2_{12}}{4E}L\right], \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta m_{12}^2 \equiv m_2^2 - m_1^2$, E is the energy of the neutrino, L is the distance between the neutrino source and the detector and $\sin^2[2\theta_{12}]$ is given by

$$\sin^2[2\theta_{12}] = \frac{4\Lambda_L^2}{(1+\Lambda_L^2)^2}, \tag{3}$$

with θ_{12} representing the mixing angle between the two mass eigenstates in the vacuum. In the last expression Λ_L is

$$\Lambda_L = \frac{m_{\nu_{\mu_L}} - m_{\nu_{e_L}} + R_L}{2m_{\nu_{e_L}\nu_{\mu_L}}}, \tag{4}$$

where R_L is defined by means of

$$R_L^2 = (m_{\nu_{e_L}} - m_{\nu_{\mu_L}})^2 + 4m_{\nu_{e_L}\nu_{\mu_L}}^2, \tag{5}$$

and the parameters $m_{\nu_{e_L}}$, $m_{\nu_{\mu_L}}$ and $m_{\nu_{e_L}\nu_{\mu_L}}$ are related with the masses m_1 and m_2 of the neutrino fields ν_1 and ν_2 (with definite masses) through the following relations

$$m_1 = \frac{1}{2}(m_{\nu_{e_L}} + m_{\nu_{\mu_L}} - R_L), \tag{6}$$

$$m_2 = \frac{1}{2}(m_{\nu_{e_L}} + m_{\nu_{\mu_L}} + R_L)e^{-i\alpha_L}, \tag{7}$$

being α_L the Majorana complex phase [4].

The expressions (1) and (2) are obtained starting from the oscillation probabilities defined by

$$P_{\nu_e}^{PW}(L) = \left| \langle 0 | \hat{\nu}_{e_L}(x) \left| \nu_{e_L}^{PW}(x_0) \right\rangle \right|^2, \tag{8}$$

$$P_{\nu_\mu}^{PW}(L) = \left| \langle 0 | \hat{\nu}_{\mu_L}(x) \left| \nu_{e_L}^{PW}(x_0) \right\rangle \right|^2, \tag{9}$$

in such a way that in the space-time production point (x_0) the initial left-handed neutrino flavor state (electron neutrino flavor) $\left|\nu_{e_L}^{PW}(x_0)\right\rangle$ is defined by the following superposition of the mass eigenstates $|\nu_1^{PW}(x_0)\rangle$ and $|\nu_2^{PW}(x_0)\rangle$

$$\left|\nu_{e_L}^{PW}(x_0)\right\rangle = \sum_{h=\pm 1} \frac{\Lambda_L}{\sqrt{1+\Lambda_L^2}} |\nu_1^{PW}(x_0)\rangle + \frac{e^{-i\alpha_L}}{\sqrt{1+\Lambda_L^2}} |\nu_2^{PW}(x_0)\rangle, \tag{10}$$

where a sum over helicities is taken in the superposition. The mass eigenstates $|\nu_1^{PW}(x_0)\rangle$ and $|\nu_2^{PW}(x_0)\rangle$ involved in (10) are obtained using plane waves from the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ as

$$|\nu_a^{PW}(x_0)\rangle = Ae^{ip_a x_0}\hat{a}_a^\dagger(\vec{p}_a, h)\,|0\rangle \tag{11}$$

where A is a normalization constant, $\hat{a}_a^\dagger$ is the creation operator of a Majorana neutrino of defined mass, x_0 is the space-time point where this Majorana neutrino is created, $p_a = (E_a, \vec{p}_a)$ is the four-momentum of the mass eigenstates and $a = 1, 2$. We have assumed that each mass eigenstate involved in (10) has associate a specific four-momentum.

In the oscillation probabilities (8) and (9), the flavor neutrino field operator $\hat{\nu}_\alpha$ is defined as a superposition of field operators of neutrinos with defined mass $\hat{\nu}_a$ by means of the expression

$$\hat{\nu}_\alpha(x) = \sum_a U_{L_{\alpha a}} \hat{\nu}_a(x), \tag{12}$$

where $\alpha = e_L, \mu_L$ and U_L is an unitarian rotation matrix given by [4]

$$U_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\Lambda_L^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_L & e^{-i\alpha_L} \\ -1 & \Lambda_L e^{-i\alpha_L} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

The field operators of Majorana neutrinos with defined mass $\hat{\nu}_a$ involved in (12) are defined as [4]

$$\hat{\nu}_a(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}(2E_a)^{1/2}} \sum_{h=\pm 1} \left[\sqrt{E_a - h\,|\vec{p}|}\, \hat{a}_a(\vec{p}, h)\chi^h(\vec{p})e^{-ip\cdot x} - h\sqrt{E_a + h\,|\vec{p}|}\, \hat{a}_a^\dagger(\vec{p}, h)\chi^{-h}(\vec{p})e^{ip\cdot x} \right], \tag{14}$$

where $E_a^2 = |\vec{p}|^2 + m_a^2$ is the energy of the neutrino field with defined mass and $\chi^h(\vec{p})$ are Majorana spinors with helicity eigenvalues ± 1.

III. NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS USING WAVE PACKETS

In this section, we will extend the plane wave treatment for Majorana neutrino oscillations that we have have presented briefly in section two (details of the treatment can be seen in [4]), for the case in which mass eigenstates are described by localized wave packets. In this treatment, we do not focus on the study of the details of the interaction processes in which neutrino is produced and detected. Here, on the other hand, it is assumed that wave packets describing mass eigenstates are localized and the coefficients of their superpositions are given by the elements of the unitarian rotation matrix U_L given by (13). The matrix U_L establishes a relationship between the flavor and mass eigenstates bases of Majorana neutrino fields in vacuum.

There are different reasons for understand why the description of mass eigenstates using wave packets is most appropriate to study the neutrino oscillations with respect to the description from plane waves [1–3]. Some of these reasons are that the neutrino source and the detector are localized and there exists a spread for the neutrino momentum [1]. Additionally, we have to keep in mind that plane waves localized in some point x_0 are in an obvious contradiction with the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. Here, we take into account these reasons when we describe the Majorana neutrino mass eigenstates in terms of superpositions of localized wave packets. To do the last, we first consider that in a point $x_0 \equiv x_0^\mu = (t_0, \vec{r}_0)$ is created a left-handed electron neutrino which is described by the following superposition of Majorana neutrino mass eigenstates $|\nu_{_1}^{^{WP}}(x_0)\rangle$ and $|\nu_{_2}^{^{WP}}(x_0)\rangle$

$$\left|\nu_{_{e_L}}^{^{WP}}(x_0)\right\rangle = \sum_{h=\pm 1} \frac{\Lambda_L}{\sqrt{1+\Lambda_L^2}} \, |\nu_{_1}^{^{WP}}(x_0)\rangle + \frac{e^{-i\alpha_L}}{\sqrt{1+\Lambda_L^2}} \, |\nu_{_2}^{^{WP}}(x_0)\rangle \, . \tag{15}$$

In contrast to the expression (11), now the mass eigenstates involved in (15) are written in term of localized wave packets in the form

$$|\nu_{_a}^{^{WP}}(x_0)\rangle = A \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-i(E_a t_0 - \vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}_0)} \psi_a(\vec{p}, \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle) \, \hat{a}_a^\dagger(\vec{p}, h) \, |0\rangle \, , \tag{16}$$

where A is a normalization constant, $\psi_a(\vec{p}, \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle)$ is a probability density function which depends on the momentum $\vec{p}_a$ and the average momentum $\langle\vec{p}_a\rangle$, and $a = 1, 2$. In general, $\psi_a(\vec{p}, \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle)$ may take any form, but it is usually approximated by a Gaussian distribution assuming that is peaked around the average momentum [7, 11–23]. In this work, we define the probability density function as [3]

$$\psi_a(\vec{p}, \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle) \approx (2\pi)^{-3/4} [\mathrm{Det}\,\Gamma]^{1/4} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4}(\vec{p} - \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle)^k \Gamma_{kj} (\vec{p} - \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle)^j\right] , \tag{17}$$

in such a way that $\psi_a = \psi_a(\vec{p}, \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle)$ satisfies the conditions [3]

$$\left.\frac{\partial \ln \psi_a}{\partial p}\right|_{\vec{p}=\langle\vec{p}_a\rangle} = 0, \tag{18}$$

$$\left.\frac{\partial^2 \ln \psi_a}{\partial p^k \partial p^j}\right|_{\vec{p}=\langle\vec{p}_a\rangle} = -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{kj} \tag{19}$$

In the probability density function given by (17), we have taken the convention of summation over the Latin repeated index k and j. Additionally, we have assumed that the spreading over the mass eigenstates $|\nu_{_1}^{^{WP}}(x_0)\rangle$ and $|\nu_{_1}^{^{WP}}(x_0)\rangle$ is the same, because these eigenstates are created simultaneously by the same weak production process. This fact is the reason that justifies why the matrix Γ_{kj} is identic for both mass eigenstates. Moreover, it is important to note that this matrix is symmetric and the eigenvalues of its inverse Γ_{kj}^{-1} are the squares of the widths in the momentum space [3].

The oscillation probabilities between two flavor neutrinos using wave packets are

$$P_{\nu_e}^{^{WP}}((T, L) = \left|\langle 0|\, \hat{\nu}_{e_L}(x) \left|\nu_{e_L}^{WP}(x_0)\right\rangle\right|^2 , \tag{20}$$

$$P_{\nu_\mu}^{^{WP}}(T, L) = \left|\langle 0|\, \hat{\nu}_{\mu_L}(x) \left|\nu_{e_L}^{WP}(x_0)\right\rangle\right|^2 , \tag{21}$$

where $\left|\nu_{_{e_L}}^{^{WP}}(x_0)\right\rangle$ given by (15) is a superposition of mass eigenstate wave packets $|\nu_{_1}^{^{WP}}(x_0)\rangle$ and $|\nu_{_1}^{^{WP}}(x_0)\rangle)$ in the creation point. The expressions (20) and (21) describe, respectively, the probabilities of finding an electron neutrino

(ν_e) and a muon neutrino (ν_μ) at a distance L in a time T of the creation point x_0. In the calculation of the transition probabilities (20) and (21), the relativistic dispersion relation is approximated by means of an expansion around the average momentum of the wave packets $\langle\vec{p}_a\rangle$ [15]

$$E_a(\vec{p}) \approx \bar{E}_a + \vec{v}_a \cdot (\vec{p} - \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle) + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{p} - \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle)^k \Omega_{kj} (\vec{p} - \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle)^j + \cdots \,, \tag{22}$$

where [15]

$$\bar{E}_a \equiv E(\langle\vec{p}_a\rangle) = \sqrt{\langle\vec{p}_a\rangle^2 + m_a^2}, \tag{23}$$

$$v_a^k = \left.\frac{\partial E(\vec{p}_a)}{\partial p_k}\right|_{\vec{p}=\langle\vec{p}_a\rangle} = \frac{\langle\vec{p}_a\rangle^k}{\bar{E}_a}, \tag{24}$$

$$\Omega_{kj}^a = \left.\frac{\partial^2 E(\vec{p}_a)}{\partial p^k \partial p^j}\right|_{\vec{p}=\langle\vec{p}_a\rangle} = \frac{1}{\bar{E}_a}\left(\delta_{kj} - v_k^a v_j^a\right). \tag{25}$$

Additionally, it is possible to write that [3]

$$\sqrt{\frac{E_a(\vec{p}) \pm h\,|\vec{p}|}{2E_a(\vec{p})}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\bar{E}_a \pm h\,|\langle\vec{p}_a\rangle|}{2\bar{E}_a}}, \tag{26}$$

$$\chi^h(\vec{p}) \approx \chi^h(\langle\vec{p}_a\rangle). \tag{27}$$

The highest power of $(\vec{p} - \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle)$ in the expansion of the energy given by (22) determines the two different cases which we will studied below: (i) If the highest power is taken until second order, then the space spreading of the mass eigenstates wave packets will be considered in the propagation of the wave packets; (ii) If the highest power is taken until third order, then the time and space spreading of the wave packets will be simultaneously considered in their propagation. The time spreading effects on the neutrino oscillation probabilities are only observed in the second case, while the space spreading effects on the neutrino oscillations are observed in both cases.

IV. SPACE SPREADING EFFECTS ON NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS

In this section, we will study the space spreading effects on the neutrino oscillations. To do it, we expand the energy given by (22) up to second order in the power series of $(\vec{p} - \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle)$ [15]. This fact is justified in the fact that the width of the wave packets is very narrow around the average momentum. For this case, the matrix Γ_{kj} can be diagonalized by means of an orthogonal transformation, *i. e.* a rotation [3, 15]. Given that the expansion of the energy does not change this rotation, without lost of generality we can take a reference frame where the matrix is diagonal

$$\Gamma_{kj} = \frac{1}{\sigma_p^2}\delta_{kj}, \tag{28}$$

with σ_p representing the width of the wave packets in the momentum space. We assume that the width has the same value for each of the dimensions of the momentum space, due to the wave packets are taken as isotropic. Additionally, we define the width of the wave packets in the coordinate space σ_r through the uncertainty relation

$$\sigma_r \sigma_p = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{29}$$

If the energy given by (22) is substituted in (20) and (21), keeping up to the second order in the power series of $(\vec{p} - \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle)$, we obtain that the neutrino oscillation probabilities are written as

$$P_{\nu_e}^{SWP}(T,L) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_r^2)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{(1+\Lambda^2)^2} \left\{\Lambda^4 \exp[-\lambda_1 \phi_1^S(T)] + \exp[-\lambda_2 \phi_2^S(T) + \Lambda^2 \aleph \exp[-\lambda_3 \phi_3^S(T)]\right\}, \tag{30}$$

$$P_{\nu_\mu}^{SWP}(T,L) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_r^2)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{(1+\Lambda^2)^2} \left\{\Lambda^2 \exp[-\lambda_1 \phi_1^S(T)] + \Lambda^2 \exp[-\lambda_2 \phi_2^S(T) - \Lambda^2 \aleph \exp[-\lambda_3 \phi_3^S(T)]\right\}, \tag{31}$$

where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1/2\sigma_r^2$, $\lambda_3 = 1/4\sigma_r^2$, $T = t - t_0$, $L = |\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0|$ and the functions in the arguments of the exponentials are given by

$$\phi_1^S(T) = (L - v_1 T)^2, \tag{32}$$

$$\phi_2^S(T) = (L - v_2 T)^2, \tag{33}$$

$$\phi_3^S(T) = (L - v_1)^2 + (L - v_2 T)^2 - i4\sigma_r^2(\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_2)T + i4\sigma_r^2(\bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2)L, \tag{34}$$

with $v_a = |\vec{v}_a|$. The quantity $\aleph$ that appears in the oscillation probabilities (30) and (31) is written as

$$\aleph = \frac{1}{(\bar{E}_1\bar{E}_2)^{1/2}} \sum_h \sqrt{(\bar{E}_1 - h\,|\langle\vec{p}_1\rangle|)(\bar{E}_2 - h\,|\langle\vec{p}_2\rangle|)}. \tag{35}$$

Now, we take into account the fact that in the atmospheric and reactor neutrino oscillation experiments it is only possible to measure the distance between the neutrino source and the detector L, while the neutrino propagation time T is unknown [7, 17, 21]. However, in the case of the accelerator neutrino experiments (for instance K2K, MINOS, OPERA) it is possible to measure the neutrino propagation time T [21]. By this reason, if we focus only on the case of atmospheric and reactor neutrino oscillation experiments, then it is necessary the elimination of the time dependence presents in (30) and (31). This last can be performed, if we take the average on the time of the expressions (30) and (37) in the following form

$$P_{\nu_e}^{SWP}(L) = \int P_{\nu_e}^{SWP}(T, L)dT, \tag{36}$$

$$P_{\nu_\mu}^{SWP}(L) = \int P_{\nu_\mu}^{SWP}(T, L)dT, \tag{37}$$

The time integrations can be performed using both Gaussian integration and the Laplace approximation method. After the time integrations are performed, we obtain from (36) and (37) the following oscillation probabilities

$$P_{\nu_e}^{SWP}(L) = \frac{1}{(1+\Lambda^2)^2}\left\{\frac{\Lambda^4}{v_1} + \frac{1}{v_2} + \Lambda^2\Xi\left(\frac{2}{v_1^2 + v_2^2}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left[i f_1^S - f_2^S\right]\right\}, \tag{38}$$

$$P_{\nu_\mu}^{SWP}(L) = \frac{1}{(1+\Lambda^2)^2}\left\{\frac{\Lambda^2}{v_1} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{v_2} - 2\Lambda^2\Xi\left(\frac{2}{v_1^2 + v_2^2}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left[i f_1^S - f_2^S\right]\right\}, \tag{39}$$

where

$$f_1^S = (\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_2)\frac{v_1 + v_2}{v_1^2 + v_2^2}L - (\bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2)L, \tag{40}$$

$$f_2^S = \frac{(v_1 - v_2)^2}{v_1^2 + v_2^2}\frac{L^2}{4\sigma_r^2} + \frac{(\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_2)^2}{v_1^2 + v_2^2}\sigma_r^2. \tag{41}$$

We have explicitly proved that if the average on the time in the expressions (36) and (37) is performed using Gaussian integration, the results are the same as the those obtained using the Laplace approximation method. In both cases, we have obtained the oscillation probabilities given by (38) and (39). The functional form of the oscillation probabilities (38) and (39) is in agreement with the one obtained in [7], where it has been used a quantum mechanics treatment and the flavor neutrinos have been described by a superposition of mass eigenstates wave packets.

In order to obtain from (38) and (39) expressions for the oscillation probabilities in the relativistic limit, the following relativistic approximations are used [12, 13, 16]

$$\bar{E}_a \simeq \bar{E} + \xi\frac{m_a^2}{2\bar{E}}, \tag{42}$$

$$\bar{p}_a \simeq \bar{E} + (1 - \xi)\frac{m_a^2}{2\bar{E}}, \tag{43}$$

$$v_a \simeq 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{2\bar{E}}, \tag{44}$$

where ξ is a dimensionless coefficient, typically of order unity, that depends of the neutrino production process and $\bar{E}$ is the neutrino energy determined by the kinematics of the production process for a massless neutrino. After the relativistic limit is taken, we obtain from (38) and (39) the following neutrino oscillation probabilities

$$P_{\nu_e}^{SWP}(L) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sin^2[2\theta_{12}]\left\{1 - \exp\left[i\,2\pi\frac{L}{L_{osc}} - \left(\frac{L}{L_{coh}}\right)^2 - 2\pi^2\xi^2\left(\frac{\sigma_r}{L_{osc}}\right)^2\right]\right\}, \tag{45}$$

$$P_{\nu_\mu}^{SWP}(L) = \frac{1}{2}\sin^2[2\theta_{12}]\left\{1 - \exp\left[i\,2\pi\frac{L}{L_{osc}} - \left(\frac{L}{L_{coh}}\right)^2 - 2\pi^2\xi^2\left(\frac{\sigma_r}{L_{osc}}\right)^2\right]\right\}, \tag{46}$$

where L_{osc} is the oscillation length and L_{coh} is the coherence length given by

$$L_{osc} = \frac{4\pi\bar{E}}{\Delta m_{12}^2}, \tag{47}$$

$$L_{coh} = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\bar{E}^2}{\Delta m_{12}^2}\sigma_r, \tag{48}$$

in agreement with the corresponding lengths very well known in the literature [7, 11–21]. To write the expressions (45) and (46), we have used the definition of $\sin^2[2\theta_{12}]$ in terms of the parameter Λ given by (3), where θ_{12} is the mixing angle in the vacuum between the two mass eigenstates. Specifically, the probability (45) represents the survival probability that an electron neutrino (ν_e) be detected at a distance L in a time T of the creation point $x_0 = (0, \vec{r}_0)$, where by simplicity $t_0 = 0$. On the other hand, the probability (46) represents the probability of oscillation from an electron neutrino (ν_e) created by the source at point x_0 to a muon neutrino (ν_μ) measured by the detector at a distance L in time T. The dependence of the oscillation probability (46) respect to the mixing angle θ_L is in agreement with the reported in [22, 23], where the effects of positive and negative energy components of mass eigenstate wave packets on the two-flavor neutrino oscillation probabilities have been studied.

The dependence of the oscillation probabilities (45) and (46) respect to L_{osc} and L_{coh} is in agreement with the reported in the literature [7, 11–21]. The first term in the argument of the exponentials in (45) and (46) is the standard oscillation phase proportional to the propagation distance L. The second term in the argument of the exponentials is called the damping factor [7]. This term implies a quadratical decrease of the oscillation probabilities with the distance L and determines how far the oscillations take place [12]. For $L \gg L_{coh}$, the interference of the neutrino mass eigenstates is suppressed and the oscillations due to L_{osc} disappear [12]. This behavior can be partially originated in the progressive separation of mass eigenstates wave packets propagating in space [17]. The third term in the argument of the exponential is called the localization factor [12] and this term implies that

$$\sigma_r < \frac{L_{osc}}{\sqrt{2}\pi} = \frac{\sqrt{8}\bar{E}}{\Delta m_{12}^2}, \tag{49}$$

meaning that the neutrino production process, which is characterized by the width of the wave packets σ_r, is localized in a region much smaller that the oscillation length L_{osc} [12]. Thus, this factor does not depends on the distance L.

As can be observed from (47) and (48), the oscillation length and the coherence length are related by

$$L_{coh} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi}\sigma_r\bar{E}\,L_{osc}, \tag{50}$$

showing that the coherence length is much larger than the oscillation length [21]. The maximum number of oscillations can be obtained from these lengths as [7]

$$N_{osc} = \frac{L_{coh}}{L_{osc}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi}\sigma_r\bar{E}. \tag{51}$$

Because in the neutrino oscillation experiments one has $L \simeq L_{osc}$, then the term $\exp\left[-\left(\frac{L}{L_{coh}}\right)^2\right]$ is nearly equal to one [21]. Additionally, it is easy to show that $\mid v_1 - v_2 \mid L_{coh} \simeq \frac{|\Delta m_{12}^2|}{2\bar{E}} \sim \sigma_r$, then $L_{osc} \gg \sigma_r$ and thus the term $\exp\left[-2\pi^2\xi^2 - \left(\frac{\sigma_r}{L_{osc}}\right)^2\right]$ is also nearly equal to one [21]. In this form, the oscillation probabilities (45) and (46) can be written as [21]

$$P_{\nu_e}^{SWP}(L) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sin^2[2\theta_{12}]\left\{1 - \cos\left[2\pi\frac{L}{L_{osc}}\right]\right\}, \tag{52}$$

$$P_{\nu_\mu}^{SWP}(L) = \frac{1}{2}\sin^2[2\theta_{12}]\left\{1 - \cos\left[2\pi\frac{L}{L_{osc}}\right]\right\}, \tag{53}$$

that reduce to the standard plane wave expressions for the neutrino oscillation probabilities (1) and (2).

V. TIME AND SPACE SPREADING EFFECTS ON NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS

In this section, we will study the time-space spreading effects on the neutrino oscillations. This is possible if we expand the energy given by (22) up to third order in the power series of $(\vec{p} - \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle)$. For this case, we take a reference frame where the matrix Γ_{kj} is diagonal and identical to (28). Substituting the energy given by (22) in (20) and (21), keeping up to the third order in the power series of $(\vec{p} - \langle\vec{p}_a\rangle)$, we obtain that the neutrino oscillation probabilities are written as

$$P_{\nu_e}^{TSWP}(T,L) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_r^2)^{1/2}}\frac{1}{(1+\Lambda^2)^2}\left\{\frac{\Lambda^4}{g_1^T(T)}\exp[-\lambda_1\phi_1^T(T)] + \frac{1}{g_2^T(T)}\exp[-\lambda_2\phi_2^T(T) + \frac{\Lambda^2\aleph}{g_3^T(T)}\exp[-\lambda_3\phi_3^T(T)]\right\}, \tag{54}$$

$$P_{\nu_\mu}^{TSWP}(T,L) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_r^2)^{1/2}}\frac{1}{(1+\Lambda^2)^2}\left\{\frac{\Lambda^2}{g_1^T(T)}\exp[-\lambda_1\phi_1^T(T)] + \frac{\Lambda^2}{g_2^T(T)}\exp[-\lambda_2\phi_2^T(T) - \frac{\Lambda^2\aleph}{g_3^T(T)}\exp[-\lambda_3\phi_3^T(T)]\right\}, \tag{55}$$

with $\aleph$ given by (35), $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1/2\sigma_r^2$, $\lambda_3 = 1/4\sigma_r^2$, and the functions in the arguments of the exponentials are

$$\phi_1^T(T) = \frac{(L - v_1T)^2}{1 + \frac{T^2}{(T_1^{spr})^2}}, \tag{56}$$

$$\phi_2^T(T) = \frac{(L - v_2T)^2}{1 + \frac{T^2}{(T_2^{spr})^2}}, \tag{57}$$

$$\phi_3^T(T) = \frac{(L - v_1)^2}{1 - \frac{iT}{T_1^{spr}}} + \frac{(L - v_2)^2}{1 - \frac{iT}{T_2^{spr}}} - i4\sigma_r^2(\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_2)T + i4\sigma_r^2(\bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2)L, \tag{58}$$

while the functions $g_1^T(T)$, $g_2^T(T)$ and $g_3^T(T)$ are

$$g_1^T(T) = \left(1 + \frac{T^2}{4\sigma_r^4\bar{E}_1^2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{T^2}{(T_1^{spr})^2}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{59}$$

$$g_2^T(T) = \left(1 + \frac{T^2}{4\sigma_r^4\bar{E}_2^2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{T^2}{(T_2^{spr})^2}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{60}$$

$$g_3^T(T) = \left(1 - \frac{iT}{2\sigma_r^2\bar{E}_1}\right)\left(1 + \frac{iT}{2\sigma_r^2\bar{E}_2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{iT}{T_1^{spr}}\right)^{1/2}\left(1 - \frac{iT}{T_2^{spr}}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{61}$$

where the spreading time T_a^{spr} has been defined as $T_a^{spr} = \frac{2\sigma_r^2}{S_a}$, with $S_a = \frac{m_a^2}{E^3}$. The spreading time in neutrino oscillations was initially defined in the context of a quantum mechanics treatment in [7]. This time was posteriorly considered in the context of a quantum field theory treatment of neutrino oscillations in [14, 17].

We observe in (54) and (55) that there are two different spreading times T_1^{spr} and T_2^{spr}. For simplicity, we will work in the limit in which the masses are nearly degenerate. In this case, it is possible to consider $T_1^{spr} \cong T_2^{spr}$ and to work with only one spreading time defined by $T_{spr} = \bar{E}^3/2\sigma_r^2\bar{m}$, with $\bar{m}$ the mass in the degenerate limit [17]. Additionally, we will work also in the regime of oscillations with transversal spreading defined for times T that satisfy $4\bar{p}\sigma_r^2/\bar{v} \lesssim T \lesssim T_{spr}$ [17]. If the transversal spreading is neglected ($T_{spr} = \infty$), this means that the time spreading effects are neglected in the oscillation probabilities, then the expressions (54) and (55) lead coherently to (30) and (31), respectively.

For the limit of nearly degenerate masses and considering the regime of oscillations with transversal spreading, the oscillation probabilities (54) and (55) can be written as

$$P_{\nu_e}^{TSWP}(T,L) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_r^2)^{1/2}}\frac{1}{(1+\Lambda^2)^2}\left\{\Lambda^4\exp[-\lambda_1\phi_1^S(T)] + \exp[-\lambda_2\phi_2^S(T) + \Lambda^2\aleph\exp[-\lambda_3\phi_3^L(T)]\right\}, \tag{62}$$

$$P^{TSWP}_{\nu_\mu}(T,L) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_r^2)^{1/2}}\frac{1}{(1+\Lambda^2)^2}\left\{\Lambda^2 \exp[-\lambda_1\phi^S_1(T)] + \Lambda^2 \exp[-\lambda_2\phi^S_2(T) - \Lambda^2\aleph \exp[-\lambda_3\phi^L_3(T)]\right\}, \tag{63}$$

with the functions $\phi^S_1(T)$ and $\phi^S_2(T)$ given by (32) and (33) respectively, and the function $\phi^L_3(T)$ is

$$\phi^L_3(T) = (L-v_1)^2 + (L-v_2T)^2 + i[(v_1^2-v_2^2)T^2 - 2L(v_1-v_2)T]T/T_{spr} - i4\sigma_r^2(\bar{E}_1-\bar{E}_2)T + i4\sigma_r^2(\bar{p}_1-\bar{p}_2)L. \tag{64}$$

where we have neglected the terms with powers higher than $O(T/T_{spr})$.

Now we focus our attention on the elimination of the time dependence that is present in the neutrino oscillation probabilities. To do it, we take the average on the time of the expressions (62) and (63), obtaining

$$P^{TSWP}_{\nu_e}(L) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_r^2)^{1/2}}\frac{1}{(1+\Lambda^2)^2}\left\{\Lambda^4\, I_1 + I_2 + \Lambda^2\aleph\, I_3\right\}, \tag{65}$$

$$P^{TSWP}_{\nu_\mu}(L) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_r^2)^{1/2}}\frac{1}{(1+\Lambda^2)^2}\left\{\Lambda^2\, I_1 + \Lambda^2\, I_2 - \Lambda^2\aleph\, I_3\right\}, \tag{66}$$

where the integrals in the time I_1, I_2 and I_3 are

$$I_1 = \int \exp[-\lambda_1\phi^S_1(T)]dT, \tag{67}$$

$$I_2 = \int \exp[-\lambda_2\phi^S_2(T)]dT, \tag{68}$$

$$I_3 = \int \exp[-\lambda_3\phi^L_3(T)]dT. \tag{69}$$

$$\tag{70}$$

The integrals I_1 and I_2 can be performed using both Gaussian integration and the Laplace approximation method, while the integral I_3 can be only performed using the Laplace approximation method. After the time integrations are performed, we obtain from (65) and (66) the following neutrino oscillation probabilities

$$P^{TSWP}_{\nu_e}(L) = \frac{1}{(1+\Lambda^2)^2}\left\{\frac{\Lambda^4}{v_1} + \frac{1}{v_2} + \Lambda^2\Xi\left(\frac{2}{v_1^2+v_2^2}\right)^{1/2} f^T_3 \exp\left[if^T_1 - f^T_2\right]\right\}, \tag{71}$$

$$P^{TSWP}_{\nu_\mu}(L) = \frac{1}{(1+\Lambda^2)^2}\left\{\frac{\Lambda^2}{v_1} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{v_2} - 2\Lambda^2\Xi\left(\frac{2}{v_1^2+v_2^2}\right)^{1/2} f^T_3 \exp\left[if^T_1 - f^T_2\right]\right\}, \tag{72}$$

with the functions f^T_1, f^T_2 and f^T_3 given by

$$f^T_1 = (\bar{E}_1-\bar{E}_2)\frac{v_1+v_2}{v_1^2+v_2^2}L(1-h^T_1) - (\bar{p}_1-\bar{p}_2)L, \tag{73}$$

$$f^T_2 = \frac{(v_1-v_2)^2}{v_1^2+v_2^2}\frac{L^2}{4\sigma_r^2}(1+h^T_2) + \frac{(\bar{E}_1-\bar{E}_2)^2}{v_1^2+v_2^2}\sigma_r^2(1-h^T_3), \tag{74}$$

$$f^T_3 = \left(1 + \frac{6(v_1^2-v_2^2)(\bar{E}_1-\bar{E}_2)\bar{S}}{(v_1^2+v_2^2)^2}\right)^{-1/2}, \tag{75}$$

where

$$h^T_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{E}_1-\bar{E}_2)(v_1-v_2)}{(v_1+v_2)(v_1^2+v_2^2)^2}\left[(v_1^2+v_2^2)^2 + 4v_1v_2\right]\bar{S}, \tag{76}$$

$$h^T_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\bar{E}_1-\bar{E}_2)(v_1+v_2)}{(v_1-v_2)(v_1^2+v_2^2)}, \tag{77}$$

$$h^T_3 = -\frac{5}{2}\frac{(\bar{E}_1-\bar{E}_2)(v_1^2-v_2^2)}{(v_1^2+v_2^2)^2}\bar{S}, \tag{78}$$

In the relativistic limit, using the approximations (42), (43) and (44), we obtain from (71) and (72) that the neutrino oscillation probabilities are

$$P^{TSWP}_{\nu_e}(L) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sin^2[2\theta_{12}]\left\{1 - \frac{1}{(1-a_4)^{1/2}}\exp\left[i\,2\pi\frac{L}{L'_{osc}} - \left(\frac{L}{L'_{coh}}\right)^2 - 2\pi^2\xi^2\left(\frac{\sigma_r(1-a_3)}{L_{osc}}\right)^2\right]\right\}, \tag{79}$$

$$P^{TSWP}_{\nu_\mu}(L) = \frac{1}{2}\sin^2[2\theta_{12}]\left\{1 - \frac{1}{(1-a_4)^{1/2}}\exp\left[i\,2\pi\frac{L}{L'_{osc}} - \left(\frac{L}{L'_{coh}}\right)^2 - 2\pi^2\xi^2\left(\frac{\sigma_r(1-a_3)}{L_{osc}}\right)^2\right]\right\}, \tag{80}$$

where L'_{osc} and L'_{coh} are written as

$$L'_{osc} = \frac{L_{osc}}{1+a_1}, \tag{81}$$

$$L'_{coh} = \frac{L_{coh}}{(1+a_2)^{1/2}}, \tag{82}$$

and

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{8}\frac{\xi^2(\Delta m^2_{12})^2\bar{m}^2}{\bar{E}^6}, \tag{83}$$

$$a_2 = 5\xi\frac{\bar{m}^2}{\bar{E}^2}, \tag{84}$$

$$a_3 = \frac{5}{16}\frac{\xi(\Delta m^2_{12})^2\bar{m}^2}{\bar{E}^6}, \tag{85}$$

$$a_4 = \frac{3}{4}\frac{\xi(\Delta m^2_{12})^2\bar{m}^2}{\bar{E}^6}. \tag{86}$$

We can observe that the oscillation probabilities (79) and (80) have the same functional form that the oscillation probabilities (45) and (46) obtained considering only the space spreading of the wave packets, but now the exponential is multiplied by a factor that includes a_4. But new, due to the time spreading effects of the wave packets, the expressions (81) and (82) show respectively some changes of the oscillation length (47) and of the coherence length (48). We observe in the space-time spreading case how the oscillation length (81) and the coherence length (82) are respectively a little smaller than the ones obtained in the space spreading case given by (47) and (48). Due to the time spreading effects, now the maximum number of oscillations is

$$N'_{osc} = \frac{L'_{coh}}{L'_{osc}} = \frac{(1+a_1)}{(1+a_2)^{1/2}}N_{osc}, \tag{87}$$

which implies that it is smaller than the one obtained in the space spreading case (see the expression (51)). However, working in the regime of oscillations with transversal spreading and for the limits of nearly degenerate masses and relativistic neutrinos, the quantities a_i, with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are very small, so $L'_{osc} \simeq L_{osc}$, $L'_{coh} \simeq L_{coh}$, $N'_{osc} \simeq N_{osc}$, $a_3 \simeq 0$ and $a_4 \simeq 0$. In this way, the oscillation probabilities (79) and (80) can reduce to (45) and (46). Thus, we find for relativistic neutrinos that the time spreading effects of the wave packets in the neutrino oscillation probabilities can be neglected in the regime of oscillation with transversal spreading.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the space and the time-space spreading effects of the wave packets on the neutrino oscillation probabilities in vacuum for considering that neutrinos are described by Majorana fields. We have calculated the two-flavor neutrino oscillation probabilities in the context of a wave packet extension of the quantum field theory treatment that we previously developed for the case in which the Majorana neutrino mass eigenstate were described by plane waves. In the treatment that have presented here, the flavor neutrinos have been considered as superpositions of neutrino mass eigenstates described by localized wave packets. We have studied the spreading effects of the mass eigenstate wave packets on the two-flavor neutrino oscillation probabilities in the case of considering only the space spreading and in the case of considering simultaneously the time and space spreading.

We have showed for the space spreading case, in the relativistic limit, that the Majorana neutrino oscillation probabilities have the same functional form as those reported in the literature for Dirac neutrinos. On the other hand, for the time-space spreading case, in the relativistic limit, and working in the regime of oscillations with transversal spreading, we have obtained a new expression for the two-flavor neutrino oscillation probabilities that includes some small changes in the oscillation and coherence lengths. Due to the time spreading effects, the oscillation length, the coherence length and the maximum number of oscillations obtained in the space-time spreading case are a little smaller than the ones obtained in the space spreading case. These differences can be neglected in the relativistic limit, and consequently the neutrino oscillation probabilities of the time-space spreading case can reduce to the ones of the space spreading case.

Acknowledgments

Y. F. Pérez thanks for the financial support from the brazilian supporting agencies Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq). C. J. Quimbay thanks DIB for the financial support received through the research project "Propiedades electromagnéticas y de oscilación de neutrinos de Majorana y de Dirac". C. J. Quimbay would like thank to Maurizio De Sanctis for help in the manuscript preparation.

[1] Ch. W. Kim, A. Pevsner, *Neutrinos in physics and astrophysics*, Switzerland, Harwood Academic Publishers, 1993.
[2] P. B. Pal, R. N. Mohapatra, *Masive neutrinos in physics and astrophysics*, World Scientific Publishing, 2004.
[3] C. Giunti, Ch. Kim, *Fundamental of neutrinos in physics and astrophysics*, New York, Oxford University Press, 2007.
[4] Y. F. Pérez, C. J. Quimbay, J. Mod. Phys. **3**, 803 (2012).
[5] S. M. Bilenky, B. Pontecorvo, Phys. Rep. **41**, 225 (1978).
[6] B. Kayser, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 110 (1981).
[7] C. Giunti, C. W. Kim, U. W. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 3635 (1991).
[8] J. Rich, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 4318 (1993).
[9] M. Zralec, Acta Phys. Polon. B **29**, 3925 (1998).
[10] C. Giunti, Found. Phys. Let. **14**, 213 (2001).
[11] C. Giunti, C. W. Kim, J. A. Lee, U. W. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 4310 (1993).
[12] C. Giunti, C. W. Kim, U. W. Lee, Phys. Lett. B **421**, 237 (1998).
[13] C. Giunti, C. W. Kim, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 017301 (1998).
[14] M. Beuthe, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 013003 (2002).
[15] C. Giunti, JHEP. **11**, 017 (2002).
[16] C. Giunti, Phys. Scr. **67**, 29 (2003).
[17] M. Beuthe, Phys. Rep. **375**, 105 (2003).
[18] C. Giunti, Found. Phys. Let. **17**, 103 (2004).
[19] C. Giunti, J. Phys G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **34**, R93 (2007).
[20] S. M. Bilenky, F. von Feilitzsch, W. Potzel, J. Phys. G **38**, 115002 (2011).
[21] S. M. Bilenky, Phys. Part. Nucl. **42**, 515 (2011).
[22] A. E. Bernardini, S. De Leo, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 053010 (2004).
[23] A. E. Bernardini, S. De Leo, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 076008 (2005).